BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	No. 07-133
)	(Enforcement-Water)
)	
)	
)	
)))))))))

NOTICE OF FILING

TO:

James A. Campion

Campion, Curran, Dunlop, Lamb & Cunabaugh, P.C.

8600 US Highway 14, Suite 201 Crystal Lake, Illinois 60012

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that today I have electronically filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Pollution Control Board a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement and a Motion to Request Relief from Hearing, true and correct copies of which are attached and hereby served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, LISA MADIGAN,

Attorney General of the State of Illinois

DATE: December 31, 2009

By:

ANDREW ARMSTRONG Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau

69 West Washington, 18th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60602

(312) 814-0660

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,) .	
LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of)	
the State of Illinois,)	
Complainant,)	
V.) No. 07-133	
THOMAS P. MATHEWS, an individual,	(Enforcement-Water	r)
Respondent.)	

MOTION TO REQUEST RELIEF FROM HEARING REQUIREMENT

NOW COMES the Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, and requests relief from the hearing requirement in the above-captioned matter. In support thereof, the Complainant states as follows:

- 1. On June 21, 2007, the Complaint was accepted for hearing by the Pollution Control Board ("Board") in this matter. On December 31, 2009, a Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement was filed with the Board. If accepted, the Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement will dispose of the case.
- 2. Section 3l(c)(2) of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2008), allows the parties in certain enforcement cases to request relief from the mandatory hearing requirement where the parties have submitted to the Board a stipulation and proposal for settlement. Section 31(c)(2) provides:

Notwithstanding the provisions of subdivision (1) of this subsection (c), whenever a complaint has been filed on behalf of the Agency or by the People of the State of Illinois, the parties may file with the Board a stipulation and proposal for settlement accompanied by a request for relief from the requirement of a hearing pursuant to subdivision (1). Unless the

Board, in its discretion, concludes that a hearing will be held, the Board shall cause notice of the stipulation, proposal and request for relief to be published and sent in the same manner as is required for hearing pursuant to subdivision (1) of this subsection. The notice shall include a statement that any person may file a written demand for hearing within 21 days after receiving the notice. If any person files a timely written demand for hearing, the Board shall deny the request for relief from a hearing and shall hold a hearing in accordance with the provisions of subdivision (1).

- 3. No hearing is currently scheduled in the instant case.
- 4. The Complainant requests the relief conferred by Section 31(c)(2) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2008).

WHEREFORE, the Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, requests relief from the requirement of a hearing pursuant to 415 ILCS 5/31(c)(2) (2008).

Respectfully submitted,

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, LISA MADIGAN,

Attorney General of the State of Illinois

By:

ANDREW ARMSTRONG Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau 69 West Washington, 18th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 814-0660

anchew aunting

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,)	
LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of)	
the State of Illinois,)	
)	
Complainant,)	
)	
V.)	No. 07-133
)	(Enforcement-Water)
THOMAS P. MATHEWS, an individual,)	
)	
Respondent	ì	

STIPULATION AND PROPOSAL FOR SETTLEMENT

Complainant, PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS, by LISA MADIGAN, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, the Illinois Environmental Protection Agency ("Illinois EPA"), and THOMAS P. MATHEWS ("Respondent") ("Parties to the Stipulation"), have agreed to the making of this Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement ("Stipulation") and submit it to the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for approval. This stipulation of facts is made and agreed upon for purposes of settlement only and as a factual basis for the Board's approval of this Stipulation and issuance of relief. None of the facts stipulated herein shall be introduced into evidence in any other proceeding regarding the violations of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act ("Act"), 415 ILCS 5/1 et seq. (2008), and the Board's Regulations, alleged in the Complaint except as otherwise provided herein. It is the intent of the Parties to the Stipulation that it be a final adjudication of this matter.

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. Parties

- 1. On June 8, 2007, a Complaint was filed on behalf of the People of the State of Illinois by Lisa Madigan, Attorney General of the State of Illinois, on her own motion and upon the request of the Illinois EPA, pursuant to Section 31 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/31 (2008), against the Respondent.
- 2. The Illinois EPA is an administrative agency of the State of Illinois, created pursuant to Section 4 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/4 (2008).
 - 3. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent was and is an Illinois resident.
- 4. At all times relevant to the Complaint, Respondent owned a parcel of land located at the intersection of Westmoor Drive and East Oakwood Drive in Wonder Lake, McHenry County, Illinois ("Site").
 - 5. The Site is near a small unnamed stream that leads to Wonder Lake.
- 6. From at least April 20, 2005 through at least August 30, 2006, Respondent maintained piles of soil and stone ("Fill Material") at the Site.
- 7. Complainant alleges that, at some times during the period from April 20, 2005 through August 30, 2006, piles of Fill Material at the Site were located in such a manner that silt-laden storm water could run off of the piles and into the small unnamed stream that leads to Wonder Lake. Complainant also alleges that, at some times during the period from April 20, 2005 through August 30, 2006, piles of Fill Material at the Site were located in such a manner that Fill Material was spilling near a storm ditch that leads to Wonder Lake.

B. Allegations of Non-Compliance

Complainant contends that the Respondent has violated the following provisions of the Act and Board regulations:

Count I:

Water Pollution

Violation of Section 12(a) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(a) (2008).

Count II:

Creating a Water Pollution Hazard

Violation of Section 12(d) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/12(d) (2008).

C. Non-Admission of Violations

The Respondent represents that he has entered into this Stipulation for the purpose of settling and compromising disputed claims without having to incur the expense of contested litigation. By entering into this Stipulation and complying with its terms, the Respondent does not affirmatively admit the allegations of violation within the Complaint and referenced within Section I.B herein, and this Stipulation shall not be interpreted as including such admission.

D. Compliance Activities to Date

As of Illinois EPA's May 11, 2007 inspection, Respondent had removed all piles of Fill Material from the Site.

II. APPLICABILITY

This Stipulation shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties to the Stipulation. The Respondent shall not raise as a defense to any enforcement action taken pursuant to this Stipulation the failure of any of his agents, employees or successors or assigns to take such action as shall be required to comply with the provisions of this Stipulation.

III. IMPACT ON THE PUBLIC RESULTING FROM ALLEGED NON-COMPLIANCE

3

Section 33(c) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/33(c) (2008), provides as follows:

In making its orders and determinations, the Board shall take into consideration all the facts and circumstances bearing upon the reasonableness of the emissions, discharges, or deposits involved including, but not limited to:

- 1. the character and degree of injury to, or interference with the protection of the health, general welfare and physical property of the people;
- 2. the social and economic value of the pollution source;
- 3. the suitability or unsuitability of the pollution source to the area in which it is located, including the question of priority of location in the area involved;
- 4. the technical practicability and economic reasonableness of reducing or eliminating the emissions, discharges or deposits resulting from such pollution source; and
- 5. any subsequent compliance.

In response to these factors, the Parties to the Stipulation stipulate to the following facts:

- The environment was threatened by the Respondent's violations as alleged by Complainant.
- 2. There was social and economic benefit afforded by the Respondents' grading and filling work at the Site.
- 3. The Respondents' grading and filling work at the Site was suitable for the area in which it was located, were it conducted in accordance with all applicable statutory requirements.
- 4. Erecting silt fencing and other protective measures to avoid water pollution at the Site as requested by Illinois EPA was both technically practicable and economically reasonable.
 - 5. Respondent has subsequently complied with the Act and the Board Regulations.

IV. CONSIDERATION OF SECTION 42(h) FACTORS

Section 42(h) of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/42(h)(2006), provides as follows:

In determining the appropriate civil penalty to be imposed under... this Section, the Board is authorized to consider any matters of record in mitigation or aggravation of penalty, including but not limited to the following factors:

- 1. the duration and gravity of the violation;
- 2. the presence or absence of due diligence on the part of the respondent in attempting to comply with requirements of this Act and regulations thereunder or to secure relief therefrom as provided by this Act;
- 3. any economic benefits accrued by the respondent because of delay in compliance with requirements, in which case the economic benefits shall be determined by the lowest cost alternative for achieving compliance;
- 4. the amount of monetary penalty which will serve to deter further violations by the respondent and to otherwise aid in enhancing voluntary compliance with this Act by the respondent and other persons similarly subject to the Act;
- 5. the number, proximity in time, and gravity of previously adjudicated violations of this Act by the respondent;
- 6. whether the respondent voluntarily self-disclosed, in accordance with subsection i of this Section, the non-compliance to the Agency; and
- 7. whether the respondent has agreed to undertake a Asupplemental environmental project,@ which means an environmentally beneficial project that a respondent agrees to undertake in settlement of an enforcement action brought under this Act, but which the respondent is not otherwise legally required to perform.

In response to these factors, the Parties to the Stipulation stipulate to the following facts:

1. The Respondent's failure to erect the silt fencing and other protective measures requested by Illinois EPA lasted at least seventeen months, from at least April 20, 2005 through at least August 30, 2006.

- 2. From April 20, 2005 through August 30, 2006, Respondent took efforts to address violations as noted at the Site by Illinois EPA. However, the violations as alleged by Complainant persisted for at least seventeen months.
- 3. Complainant has determined that any economic benefit to Respondent was negligible, given that the costs of installation of the silt fencing and other protective measures requested by Illinois EPA would have been minimal.
- 4. Complainant has determined, based upon the specific facts of this matter, that a penalty of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) will serve to deter further violations and aid in future voluntary compliance with the Act and Board regulations.
- 5. Entities that Respondent has owned and operated have been the subject of previous enforcement actions, including People v. Highland Shores Water Co., 95 CH 43 (McHenry Cty.) (order for \$10,000 penalty entered on March 13, 2001) and People v. Northern Illinois Utilities, Inc., 95 CH 45 (McHenry Cty.) (judgment for \$3,000 penalty entered on March 26, 1999).
 - 6. Self-disclosure is not at issue in this matter.
- 7. The settlement of this matter does not include a supplemental environmental project.

V. TERMS OF SETTLEMENT

A. Penalty Payment

1. The Respondent shall pay a civil penalty in the sum of Five Thousand Dollars (\$5,000.00) within thirty (30) days from the date the Board adopts and accepts this Stipulation.

B. Interest and Default

- 1. If the Respondent fails to make any payment required by this Stipulation on or before the date upon which the payment is due, the Respondent shall be in default and the remaining unpaid balance of the penalty, plus any accrued interest, shall be due and owing immediately. In the event of default, the Complainant shall be entitled to reasonable costs of collection, including reasonable attorney's fees.
- 2. Pursuant to Section 42(g) of the Act, interest shall accrue on any penalty amount owed by the Respondent not paid within the time prescribed herein. Interest on unpaid penalties shall begin to accrue from the date such are due and continue to accrue to the date full payment is received. Where partial payment is made on any penalty amount that is due, such partial payment shall be first applied to any interest on unpaid penalties then owing.

C. Payment Procedures

All payments required by this Stipulation shall be made by certified check or money order payable to the Illinois EPA for deposit into the Environmental Protection Trust Fund ("EPTF").

Payments shall be sent by first class mail and delivered to:

Illinois EPA, Fiscal Services 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276 The name, case number and the Respondent's federal tax identification number shall appear on the face of the certified check or money order. A copy of the certified check or money order and any transmittal letter shall be sent to:

Andrew Armstrong
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Bureau
Illinois Attorney General's Office
69 West Washington Street, 18th Floor
Chicago, Illinois 60602

D. Future Compliance

- 1. In addition to any other authorities, the Illinois EPA, its employees and representatives, and the Attorney General, her employees and representatives, shall have the right of entry into and upon the Respondent's facility which is the subject of this Stipulation, at all reasonable times for the purposes of conducting inspections and evaluating compliance status. In conducting such inspections, the Illinois EPA, its employees and representatives, and the Attorney General, her employees and representatives, may take photographs, samples, and collect information, as they deem necessary.
- 2. This Stipulation in no way affects the responsibilities of the Respondent to comply with any other federal, state or local laws or regulations, including but not limited to the Act and the Board Regulations.
- 3. The Respondent shall cease and desist from future violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint.

E. Release from Liability

In consideration of the Respondent's payment of the \$5,000.00 penalty and his commitment to cease and desist as contained in Section V.D. above, and upon the Board's approval of this Stipulation, the Complainant releases, waives and discharges the Respondent from any further liability or penalties for the violations of the Act and Board Regulations that were the subject matter of the Complaint herein. The release set forth above does not extend to any matters other than those expressly specified in Complainant's Complaint filed on July 8, 2007. The Complainant reserves, and this Stipulation is without prejudice to, all rights of the State of Illinois against the Respondent with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:

- a. criminal liability;
- b. liability for future violation of state, federal, local, and common laws and/or regulations;
 - c. liability for natural resources damage arising out of the alleged violations; and
- d. liability or claims based on the Respondent's failure to satisfy the requirements of this Stipulation.

Nothing in this Stipulation is intended as a waiver, discharge, release, or covenant not to sue for any claim or cause of action, administrative or judicial, civil or criminal, past or future, in law or in equity, which the State of Illinois may have against any person, as defined by Section 3.315 of the Act, 415 ILCS 5/3.315, or entity other than the Respondent.

F. Enforcement and Modification of Stipulation

Upon the entry of the Board's Order approving and accepting this Stipulation, that Order is a binding and enforceable order of the Board and may be enforced as such through any and all available means.

G. Execution of Stipulation

The undersigned representatives for the Parties to the Stipulation certify that they are fully authorized by the party whom they represent to enter into the terms and conditions of this Stipulation and to legally bind them to it.

WHEREFORE, the Parties to the Stipulation request that the Board adopt and accept the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,	THE ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
LISA MADIGAN	
Attorney General	
State of Illinois	DOUGLAS P. SCOTT, Director
	Illinois Environmental Protection Agency
MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief	7 A
Environmental Enforcement/	
Asbestos Litigation Division	BY: D
Rock 1	JOHN J. KIM
BY: X OBEN 10 TRE	Chief Legal Counsel
ROSEMARIE CAZEAU Chief	DATE: 12(22(09)
Environmental Bureau	DITTE.
Assistant Attorney General	THOMAS P. MATHEWS
DATE: 12/23/09	
	BY:
	Thomas P. Mathews
	DATE:

WHEREFORE, the Parties to the Stipulation request that the Board adopt and accept the foregoing Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement as written.

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF ILLINOIS,

THE ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

LISA MADIGAN Attorney General State of Illinois

DOUGLAS P. SCOTT, Director Illinois Environmental Protection Agency

MATTHEW J. DUNN, Chief Environmental Enforcement/ Asbestos Litigation Division

BY: ROSEMARIE CAZEAU, Chief Environmental Bureau Assistant Attorney General	JOHN J. KIM Chief Legal Counsel
DATE:	DATE:

THOMAS P. MATHEWS

BY:

Thurnus D Marhave

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, ANDREW ARMSTRONG, an Assistant Attorney General, do certify that I caused to be mailed this 31st day of December, 2009, the foregoing Motion to Request Relief from Hearing, Stipulation and Proposal for Settlement, and Notice of Filing, upon the persons listed on said notice, by U.S. first-class mail.

ANDREW ARMSTRONG

Assistant Attorney General Environmental Bureau

69 West Washington, 18th Floor

Chicago, IL 60602 (312) 814-0660